

**Stephanie Kost**

04-207

**From:** April Bernal [aprile\_b@excite.com]  
**Sent:** Friday, October 15, 2004 7:07 AM  
**To:** KAQuinn  
**Subject:** No on "A La Carte" Cable

April Bernal  
136 woodland dr  
vista, ca 92083

RECEIVED  
OCT 23 2004  
Federal Bureau of Investigation  
U.S. Department of Justice

October 15, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

April Bernal  
760-586-1497

**Stephanie Kost**

04-207

**From:** April Bernal [aprile\_b@excite.com]  
**Sent:** Friday, October 15, 2004 7:07 AM  
**To:** Michael Copps  
**Subject:** No on "A La Carte" Cable

RECEIVED

OCT 15 2004

Federal Communications Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20541

April Bernal  
136 woodland dr  
vista, ca 92083

October 15, 2004

Michael J Copps

Dear Michael Copps:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

April Bernal  
760-586-1497

04-207

**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** April Bernal [aprile\_b@excite.com]  
**Sent:** Friday, October 15, 2004 7:07 AM  
**To:** KJMWEB  
**Subject:** No on "A La Carte" Cable

April Bernal  
136 woodland dr  
vista, ca 92083

RECEIVED  
OCT 21 2004  
FALL 11 11 11  
COMMUNICATIONS

October 15, 2004

Kevin J Martin

Dear Kevin Martin:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

April Bernal  
760-586-1497

**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** April Bernal [aprile\_b@excite.com]  
**Sent:** Friday, October 15, 2004 7:07 AM  
**To:** Michael Powell  
**Subject:** No on "A La Carte" Cable

April Bernal  
136 woodland dr  
vista, ca 92083

October 15, 2004

Michael K Powell

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

April Bernal  
760-586-1497

## Stephanie Kost

---

**From:** Armando Madrigal [amadrigal00@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, October 18, 2004 6:14 AM  
**To:** KAQuinn  
**Subject:** No on "A La Carte" Cable

Armando Madrigal  
185 Nottingham Dr.  
Bolingbrook, il 60440

October 18, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Armando Madrigal

**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** Armando Madrigal [amadrigal00@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, October 18, 2004 6:14 AM  
**To:** Michael Powell  
**Subject:** No on "A La Carte" Cable

Armando Madrigal  
185 Nottingham Dr.  
Bolingbrook, il 60440

October 18, 2004

Michael K Powell

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Armando Madrigal

## Stephanie Kost

---

**From:** Armando Madrigal [amadrigo00@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, October 18, 2004 6:14 AM  
**To:** Commissioner Adelstein  
**Subject:** No on "A La Carte" Cable

Armando Madrigal  
185 Nottingham Dr.  
Bolingbrook, il 60440

October 18, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Armando Madrigal

**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** Armando Madrigal [amadrigal00@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, October 18, 2004 6:14 AM  
**To:** KJMWEB  
**Subject:** No on "A La Carte" Cable

RECEIVED

OCT 20 2004

Armando Madrigal  
185 Nottingham Dr.  
Bolingbrook, il 60440

RECEIVED  
OCT 20 2004

October 18, 2004

Kevin J Martin

Dear Kevin Martin:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Armando Madrigal



## Stephanie Kost

---

**From:** Aurora Taylor [autaylor@stewart.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 19, 2004 4:33 PM  
**To:** KAQuinn  
**Subject:** Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Aurora Taylor  
10311 Oak Limb  
Houston, TX 77065

October 19, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Aurora Taylor  
281-477-6457

**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** Aurora Taylor [autaylor@stewart.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 19, 2004 4:33 PM  
**To:** Commissioner Adelstein  
**Subject:** Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Aurora Taylor  
10311 Oak Limb  
Houston, TX 77065

October 19, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Aurora Taylor  
281-477-6457

## **Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** Aurora Taylor [autaylor@stewart.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 19, 2004 4:33 PM  
**To:** Commissioner Adelstein  
**Subject:** Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Aurora Taylor  
10311 Oak Limb  
Houston, TX 77065

October 19, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Aurora Taylor  
281-477-6457

**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** Aurora Taylor [autaylor@stewart.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 19, 2004 4:33 PM  
**To:** Michael Powell  
**Subject:** Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Aurora Taylor  
10311 Oak Limb  
Houston, TX 77065

October 19, 2004

Michael K Powell

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Aurora Taylor  
281-477-6457

## Stephanie Kost

---

**From:** Barbara Betz [mrspenguin@ispsaver.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 19, 2004 11:08 PM  
**To:** KAQuinn  
**Subject:** Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Barbara Betz  
11192 Jackman  
Temperance, MI 48182

October 19, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Barbara Betz

## **Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** Barbara Betz [mrspenguin@ispsaver.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 19, 2004 11:08 PM  
**To:** Commissioner Adelstein  
**Subject:** Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Barbara Betz  
11192 Jackman  
Temperance, MI 48182

October 19, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein  
,

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Barbara Betz

**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** Barbara Betz [mrspenguin@ispsaver.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 19, 2004 11:08 PM  
**To:** Commissioner Adelstein  
**Subject:** Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Barbara Betz  
11192 Jackman  
Temperance, MI 48182

October 19, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein

,

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Barbara Betz

## Stephanie Kost

---

**From:** Barbara Betz [mrspenguin@ispsaver.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 19, 2004 11:08 PM  
**To:** Michael Powell  
**Subject:** Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Barbara Betz  
11192 Jackman  
Temperance, MI 48182

October 19, 2004

Michael K Powell

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Barbara Betz



**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** Beckie Cox [bacox@adelphia.net]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 19, 2004 10:38 PM  
**To:** KAQuinn  
**Subject:** Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Beckie Cox  
PHR  
4550 Mountain View Drive  
Dublin, VA 24084

October 19, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy  
,

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Beckie Cox  
PHR

## Stephanie Kost

---

**From:** Beckie Cox [bacox@adelphia.net]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 19, 2004 10:38 PM  
**To:** Commissioner Adelstein  
**Subject:** Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Beckie Cox  
PHR  
4550 Mountain View Drive  
Dublin, VA 24084

October 19, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein  
,

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Beckie Cox  
PHR

## Stephanie Kost

---

**From:** Beckie Cox [bacox@adelphia.net]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 19, 2004 10:38 PM  
**To:** Commissioner Adelstein  
**Subject:** Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Beckie Cox  
PHR  
4550 Mountain View Drive  
Dublin, VA 24084

October 19, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein  
,

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Beckie Cox  
PHR

**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** Beckie Cox [bacox@adelphia.net]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 19, 2004 10:38 PM  
**To:** Michael Powell  
**Subject:** Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Beckie Cox  
PHR  
4550 Mountain View Drive  
Dublin, VA 24084

October 19, 2004

Michael K Powell

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Beckie Cox  
PHR

## Stephanie Kost

---

**From:** Becky Addington [bjbrooks03@earthlink.net]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 13, 2004 5:29 PM  
**To:** KAQuinn  
**Subject:** Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Becky Addington  
511 Glyn Ellen Dr.  
Union City, Indiana 47390

October 13, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Becky Addington

**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** Becky Addington [bjbrooks03@earthlink.net]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 13, 2004 5:29 PM  
**To:** Commissioner Adelstein  
**Subject:** Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Becky Addington  
511 Glyn Ellen Dr.  
Union City, Indiana 47390

October 13, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein  
,

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Becky Addington

## Stephanie Kost

---

**From:** Becky Addington [bjbrooks03@earthlink.net]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 13, 2004 5:29 PM  
**To:** Michael Copps  
**Subject:** Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Becky Addington  
511 Glyn Ellen Dr.  
Union City, Indiana 47390

October 13, 2004

Michael J Copps

Dear Michael Copps:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Becky Addington

## Stephanie Kost

---

**From:** Annis Hughes [annis\_hughes@sbcglobal.net]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 13, 2004 12:03 PM  
**To:** KJMWEB  
**Subject:** Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Annis Hughes  
Ms.  
none  
616 West Elk  
Dexter, Mo. 63841

October 13, 2004

Kevin J Martin  
,

Dear Kevin Martin:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Annis Hughes  
573-624-4451  
Ms.  
none



## Stephanie Kost

---

**From:** Annis Hughes [annis\_hughes@sbcglobal.net]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 13, 2004 12:03 PM  
**To:** Michael Powell  
**Subject:** Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Annis Hughes  
Ms.  
none  
616 West Elk  
Dexter, Mo. 63841

October 13, 2004

Michael K Powell  
,

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Annis Hughes  
573-624-4451  
Ms.  
none

**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** Annis Hughes [annis\_hughes@sbcglobal.net]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 13, 2004 12:03 PM  
**To:** Commissioner Adelstein  
**Subject:** Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Annis Hughes  
Ms.  
none  
616 West Elk  
Dexter, Mo. 63841

October 13, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Annis Hughes  
573-624-4451  
Ms.  
none

## Stephanie Kost

---

**From:** Annis Hughes [annis\_hughes@sbcglobal.net]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 13, 2004 12:03 PM  
**To:** KAQuinn  
**Subject:** Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Annis Hughes  
Ms.  
none  
616 West Elk  
Dexter, Mo. 63841

October 13, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy  
,

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Annis Hughes  
573-624-4451  
Ms.  
none

## Stephanie Kost

---

**From:** AngelChrst@aol.com  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 07, 2004 11:20 AM  
**To:** Jonathan Adelstein  
**Subject:** a la carte programming

Dear Mr. Adelstein,

I have written my congressman, Mel Watt of N. Carolina, and now I am writing you to express my opinion about the bill that is before Congress concerning the "a la carte" programming regulations for cable companies.

I am against this bill. It will have a devastating impact on religious programming. Religious programming effects the lives of millions of people. Not only does it offer a welcome relief from the often offensive network programming but it also promotes and impacts positive character traits that often translate into better people and better citizenship.

Angel Christ  
Jamestown, NC

**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** Anita Gonzalez [rann23@msn.com]  
**Sent:** Friday, October 15, 2004 1:58 PM  
**To:** KAQuinn  
**Subject:** Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Anita Gonzalez  
12059 Clovis Drive  
Klamath Falls, OR 97603

October 15, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Anita Gonzalez

## Stephanie Kost

---

**From:** Anita Gonzalez [rann23@msn.com]  
**Sent:** Friday, October 15, 2004 1:58 PM  
**To:** KJMWEB  
**Subject:** Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Anita Gonzalez  
12059 Clovis Drive  
Klamath Falls, OR 97603

October 15, 2004

Kevin J Martin

Dear Kevin Martin:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Anita Gonzalez